



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/010,700	11/08/2001	Shinobu Sato	15069	7039	
23389	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
	COTT MURPHY & PRI I CITY PLAZA	CHOOBIN	CHOOBIN, BARRY		
SUITE 300	CHITEREN	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
GARDEN CIT	GARDEN CITY, NY 11530				
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Applica	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary		10/010,	700	SATO, SHINOBU	SATO, SHINOBU				
		Examin	ər	Art Unit					
		Barry Cl	noobin	2625					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on t	he cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	idress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF T FR 1.136(a). In no e n. eriod will apply and statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICA event, however, may a repi will expire SIX (6) MONTH pplication to become ABAN	ATION. lly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status			•						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	11 July 2005							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)	rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is							
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims	·		•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applica	ation.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	_								
6)🖂	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	_								
. 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election	requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.							
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All. b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have be	en received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the International Bu	·	, ,,						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the cer	tified copies not re	eceived.					
Attachmen	t(s)								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			mmary (PTO-413)					
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	•		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)				
	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	,	,				

Application/Control Number: 10/010,700

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 7/11/2005, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-15 under USC 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Tanaka and Frank Hartung et al.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanaka and Hartung et al.

As to claim 1, Tanaka discloses a data insertion device comprising: an input device which inputs image data including a types of pictures (Fig.1, element 106); a determining device which determines the type of the picture for each picture (Fig.1); and an inserting device which inserts pattern data into each picture with an insertion intensity according to the type determined about the corresponding picture (Fig.1. and column 2, lines 20-29 wherein a watermark level corresponds to the insertion intensity in this claim).

Application/Control Number: 10/010,700

Art Unit: 2625

Tanaka fails to explicitly disclose that the input image data is compressed image data. However, Hartung et al disclose a Digital watermarking of MPEG-2 coded video.

Tanaka and Hartung et al are combinable because they both are in the field of watermarking image data.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art of image processing to combine the teaching of Hartang et al with the work of Tanaka in order to reduce the complexity operation.

The motivation for doing so would have been to considerably lower complexity than schemes for watermarking of uncompressed video which require decompression and recompression when a compressed video sequence has to be watermarked (see page 2621, column 2 of Hurting et al).

As to claim 2, Tankana discloses the data insertion device of claim 1 (see claim 1 above) wherein the inserting device modifies the pattern data to have the insertion intensity and inserts the modified pattern data into the picture (column 2, lines 36-44).

As to claim 3, Tanaka discloses the data insertion device of claim 2 (see claim 2 above), wherein the modification is done by multiplying the pattern data by a multiplier rate, which adjusted according to the determined type (column 3, lines 34-43).

Application/Control Number: 10/010,700

Art Unit: 2625

As to claim 4, Tanaka discloses the data insertion device of claim 1 (see claim 1 above) wherein a group of pattern data are prepared each of which are generated so as to have the insertion intensity according to one of the types of the pictures, and the inserting device selects one of the group of pattern data and inserts them into the corresponding picture (Fig.1, 304).

As to claim 5, Tanaka discloses the data insertion device of claim wherein the

Pattern data includes information related to digital watermarking (Fig.1).

As to claim 6, Tanaka discloses the data insertion device of claim 1 (see claim 1 above) wherein the image data are MPEG2 data, and the types of picture includes I picture, P picture, and B picture (column 4, lines 24-33).

Claims 7-12 are similarly analyzed and rejected as claims 1-6 respectively.

Claims 13-15 are similar to claim 1. Accordingly, these claims are similarly analyzed and rejected as claim 1 above.

CONTACT INFORAMTION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barry Choobin whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2625

571-272-7447. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, WU JINGGE can be reached on 571-272-7429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

Barry Choobin 10/12/05

free).